IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.K.DENESAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 14TH MARCH 2007 / 23RD PHALGUNA 1928

WP(C).No. 8612 of 2007(A)

PETITIONER:

C.K.RAVINDRAN,
S/O.K.V.NARAYANAN NAIR, AGED 51 YEARS,
ASSISTANT SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
EDACHERRY POLICE STATION, KOZHIKODE (RURAL).

BY ADV. SRI.V.A.MUHAMMED
SRI.K.E.HAMZA

RESPONDENTS:
---

1. THE STATE OF KERALA REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, DEPARTMENT OF HOME, SECRETARIAT, TRIVANDRUM.

2. THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE, POLICE HEAD QUARTERS, TRIVANDRUM.

3. THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE, (NORTH ZONE), KOZHIKODE.

4. THE DEPUTY INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE, KANNUR RANGE, KANNUR.

5. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, KOZHIKODE RANGE.

BY GOVT. PLEADER SHRI. BIJOY CHANDRAN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 14/03/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K.K.DENESAN, J.

WP(C)No. 8612 OF 2007

Dated this the 14th March, 2007.

JUDGMENT

The petitioner is working as Assistant Sub Inspector of Police. Disciplinary proceedings initiated against him were finalised directing that a black mark entry shall be made in his service record. The proceedings came to an end by the passing of Ext.P2 order on 19.3.2004.

2. It is contended that the right of the petitioner for promotion to the post of Assistant Sub Inspector of Police was delayed pending finalisation of the disciplinary action against him. Now that the proceedings have been closed by ordering that a black mark entry will be made in his service records, his claim for promotion with effect from the date of promotion of his juniors as Assistant Sub Inspector of Police shall be considered.

2. Ext.P4 representation filed before the third respondent to the above effect is pending consideration. Counsel submits that though five months are over, no decision has been taken on Ext.P4.

3. In the above circumstances it is just and proper that the third respondent takes early decision on Ext.P4.

4. The writ petition is disposed of directing the third respondent to consider Ext.P4 on merits, take

decision in accordance with law and communicate the same to the petitioner within six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of the judgment.

The petitioner shall produce copy of the judgment before the third respondent for necessary action.

K.K.DENESAN
Judge

jj